Sines, et al., v. Kessler, et al. 3:17cv72 10/30/2020 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 2 CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION 3 **************** 4 ELIZABETH SINES, et al., 5 Plaintiffs, CIVIL ACTION 3:17-CV-00072 6 vs. OCTOBER 30, 2020 2:02 P.M. 7 MOTION HEARING VIA ZOOM 8 JASON KESSLER, et al., 9 Defendants. 10 ************ 11 12 TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING 13 BEFORE NORMAN K. MOON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 14 WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Court Reporter: JoRita B. Meyer, RPR, RMR, CRR 22 210 Franklin Road, S.W., Room 540 23 Roanoke, Virginia 24011 (540)857-5100 Ext. 5133 24 PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY; 25 TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER.

Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 905 Filed 11/05/20 Page 1 of 47 Pageid#: 15509

		(CCC1C1)
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiffs:	Cooley LLP
3		1114 Avenue of the Americas 46th Floor
4 5		New York, NY 10036 212-479-6260 alevine@cooley.comCOOLEY
6		CAITLIN B. MUNLEY, ESQUIRE
7		Cooley LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 700
8		Washington, DC 20004-2400 202-842-7800
9		JESSICA E. PHILLIPS, ESQUIRE
10		Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
11		2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006
12		202-223-7300 jphillips@paulweiss.com
13		JONATHAN R. KAY, ESQUIRE
14 15		Kaplan Hecker & Fink LLP 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7110 New York, NY 10118
16		212-763-0883 jkay@kaplanhecker.com
17		KAREN LEAH DUNN, ESQUIRE
18		Boies Schiller Flexner, LLP 1401 New York Avenue, NW
19		Washington, DC 20005 202-237-2727 kdunn@paulweiss.com
20		
21		MICHAEL LOW BLOCH, ESQUIRE Kaplan Hecker & Fink LLP 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7110
22		New York, NY 10118 212-763-0883
23		mbloch@kaplanhecker.com
24		

25

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 905 Filed 11/05/20 Page 3 of 47 Pageid#: 15513
         Sines, et al., v. Kessler, et al. 3:17cv72 10/30/2020
  1
      APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
  2
      On behalf of the Defendants Michael Hill, Michael Tubbs,
      and League of the South:
  3
                               BRYAN JEFFREY JONES, ESQUIRE
  4
                               Bryan J. Jones, Attorney at law
                               106 W. South Street, Suite 211
  5
                               Charlottesville, VA 22902
                               540-623-6952
  6
                               bryan@bjoneslegal.com
  7
      ///
  8
  9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
```

```
Sines, et al., v. Kessler, et al. 3:17cv72 10/30/2020
 1
     (Proceedings commenced, 2:02 p.m.)
 2
             THE COURT: Can you hear me?
 3
             THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
 4
             MR. LEVINE: Yes, sir.
 5
             THE COURT: All right. Before we begin, I will
 6
    remind everyone that under standing order 2020-12, the
 7
    Court's prohibition against recording and broadcasting court
8
    proceedings remains in force. Attorneys, staff, and any
 9
    members of the public accessing this hearing today may not
    record or broadcast it.
10
11
             All right. Would you call the case, please?
12
             THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
13
             This is Civil Action Number 3:17-cv-72, Elizabeth
    Sines and others versus Jonathan Kessler and others.
14
15
             THE COURT: Is the plaintiff ready?
16
             MR. LEVINE: Yes, Your Honor. Good afternoon. Alan
17
    Levine of Cooley, LLP, for the plaintiffs today.
18
             THE COURT: All right. Are the defendants ready?
19
             MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. Bryan Jones,
20
    representing League of the South, Michael Hill, and Michael
21
    Tubbs.
22
             THE COURT: All right. This is on your motion, so
23
    you may proceed.
24
             MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
             Our motion is based on plaintiffs can't prove they
```

Sines, et al., v. Kessler, et al. 3:17cv72 10/30/2020

were injured or suffered damages based on two incidents, the Fields car attack and the torch march that occurred on August 11. Because my clients did not conspire with James Fields -- indeed, Mr. Fields did not conspire with anybody in perpetrating the car attack -- and because my clients did not conspire with those involved in the August 11 torch march, it is our position that all the claims, all the conspiracy claims, against our clients should be dismissed.

Starting with the Fields car attack, the evidence so far is that James Fields acted alone. He did not plan the rally. He did not communicate -- as far as we know, there's no evidence that he communicated with any of the other defendants in the rally, and simply the evidence simply shows that he attended the rally, and once the rally was finished, he acted alone in driving his car into the crowd and perpetrating that attack.

Mr. Fields conspired with some of the other defendants to commit racial violence on that date, the lack of evidence in that regard is fatal. Indeed, if the evidence that plaintiffs have provided in support of the claim that James Fields was a conspirator, if that were sufficient, then virtually every attendee at the rally would be part of the conspiracy. That would stretch conspiracy, not just to this rally, but at any sort of protest or rally, simply attending

would subject somebody to liability for any damage that occurred at that rally.

That's our position on the -- as far as the plaintiffs who were injured in the Fields car attack.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a question. What is your understanding of the evidence that he was connected in some way with Vanguard America?

MR. JONES: Your Honor, the evidence cited by the plaintiffs in their response is that when he arrived at the rally, he stood next to members of Vanguard; that he held a shield that was associated with some members of Vanguard; and that he -- my understanding is that he -- most of time he was at the rally, he was with members of Vanguard.

There's no evidence that he had any connection to Vanguard before this. In fact, he simply showed up, and they were the ones that he spent most of the time at the rally with. There's no -- none of those -- none of the actions that plaintiffs have cited in support -- the standing next to them, the wearing a white polo and khakis -- points to a conspiracy to commit racial violence. It simply shows somebody participating in and attending a political rally.

THE COURT: Well, if he were a member of Vanguard, and Vanguard was a conspirator, then of course Fields would likewise be a conspirator with everyone else that could be proven to have conspired together, correct?

MR. JONES: That may be true, Your Honor. However, he was actually not an official member of Vanguard.

THE COURT: Yeah, I understand your position. Okay.

After the 12th, the event on the 12th involving Mr. Fields, did the League issue any statement which tended to support or disapprove of him, or anyone on behalf -- speaking for the League?

MR. JONES: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. You may proceed, then.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. So that is my argument on Fields.

I would -- I guess to address that last point, Your Honor, conspiracy, of course, has to be formed beforehand and in advance, and so I -- it's our position that there's no requirement that they necessarily disavow or disassociate themselves after the fact with Mr. Fields.

As far as the plaintiffs who were injured in the torch march, Your Honor, our position on that is also our clients were not involved with the torch march. The crucial piece of evidence on this question is an e-mail sent before the torch march on August 11 from one League of the South member to Michael Hill, where the member asks whether Michael Hill and the League is going to be attending the torch march, and if so, to be careful, because Antifa may have caught wind

Sines, et al., v. Kessler, et al. 3:17cv72 10/30/2020 of the torch march.

Michael Hill's response is: "That is not our game, but we are sending two observers."

Indeed, Michael Tubbs did not even arrive in Charlottesville until much later in the evening, after the torch march had occurred. Michael Hill was in Madison, Virginia, at the campground where they were staying.

A handful of League members, perhaps, participated, but they were doing so in an individual capacity. The League did not participate or attend in the torch march that occurred on August 11.

So for that reason, because the plaintiffs -- my clients did not have any involvement in the torch march, the plaintiffs who suffered injuries as a result of the torch march cannot recover from my clients.

THE COURT: What is the evidence that -- what is your understanding of the evidence that any member of the League participated, did anything at the torch march that caused the injury to any of the plaintiffs?

MR. JONES: As far as I know, there's no evidence that any of the members of the League of the South committed any violence or injured anybody. There are pictures of Brad Griffin, who is a member of the League, marching with other participants in the rally, but there's no evidence that they participated in any of the violence.

THE COURT: All right, sir.

MR. JONES: That's all I'll offer now. I will

reserve some time to respond to plaintiffs.

THE COURT: All right. Plaintiffs may -- you may

5 respond.

MR. LEVINE: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is Alan Levine, from the Cooley law firm, representing the plaintiffs.

So I have a presentation. I just want to be sure it's okay with the Court if I sit down. I'm accustomed to standing before the Court.

THE COURT: Well, I assume everyone is sitting down.

I don't know why you would stand.

MR. LEVINE: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Do as you please.

MR. LEVINE: Okay.

So, Your Honor, we're here in opposition to the motion for summary judgment. But it's obvious, Your Honor, there are 11 other individual defendants and nine other entity defendants that have not made such a motion. Only the defendants League of the South, Defendant Hill, and Defendant Tubbs have challenged the evidence. So we will be before Your Honor in the trial now scheduled, hopefully, for April.

Your Honor, the evidence developed during discovery demonstrates that the defendants -- all, all of the

defendants, including the three defendants making this motion -- engaged in a conspiracy to commit violence against black people, Jews, and their supporters, in violation of 42 U.S.C., Section 1985(3), the Ku Klux Klan Act, in the events of the weekend of August 11 and 12, 2017.

The defendants -- the evidence also demonstrates,

Your Honor, that the defendants' intention was to intimidate

black people and Jews and their supporters with threats of

violence, and actual violence, from the equal enjoyment of

rights secured by law to all.

Your Honor ruled on a motion to dismiss in this case and wrote in his decision upholding the complaint that as to these three movant defendants, we had properly and adequately alleged a conspiracy; that it was motivated by class-based, invidiously discriminatory animus; that it was intended to deprive the plaintiffs of the equal enjoyment of rights, resulting in injury.

Because it's only these three defendants making a motion, I'm going to confine myself to the proof of their conduct and participation and respond specifically to the two points that Mr. Jones has made, as well as two other points that he has not chosen to argue this afternoon but are contained in his -- in his brief.

Let me just -- and we submitted, Your Honor, a 50-some-odd-page brief. We attached 151 exhibits. And I am

not going to go through the entire brief or all of those exhibits today, but with the Court's indulgence, I am going to highlight a number of the exhibits in respect of each of the arguments that Mr. Jones has made today on behalf of his clients. But let me just point out some very basic facts at the outset, Your Honor.

The League of the South, the defendant League of the South, as its published papers say, it sees itself as the heart and soul of the hard right, an "uncompromising movement" -- I'm quoting, Your Honor -- "uncompromising movement of Southern and white nationalists, firm on the Jewish question and the Negro question," meaning to eliminate Jews and subordinate blacks.

Second, that the defendants, Your Honor, League of the South and Hill, were invited to participate in the Unite the Right rally early on and to be involved in the planning by the defendant Kessler; that defendant Hill solicited members to attend what he called "defending our Southern heritage against Jews and their black-skinned allies."

Three, that the defendant Hill delegated very specific League of the South members to be involved in the planning both on Discord and in other communications. And the designated people included Brad Griffin, Ike Baker, and J.C. Adams. And I will be referring to evidence from each of the three of them this afternoon. And the evidence will show

that each of those three gentlemen, members of the League of the South, reported back to Hill and to Tubbs on their performance of their responsibilities.

Next, Your Honor, that the defendant Hill delegated the defendant Tubbs to be in charge of ground operations for the Unite the Right. It was the defendant Tubbs who set up uniforms and actually led the formation of League of the South members and other defendant organization members into battle on the morning of Saturday, August 12th.

And we submitted in connection with our motion videos of that actual violence that the defendant Tubbs and his co-conspirators initiated.

One other co-conspirator, a gentleman, Defendant

Perry, who has not made a motion, has described the defendant

Tubbs as "proceeding in the head of the formation, with a

full-throttled rebel yell, towering like Tyrannosaurus Rex

over -- among the raptors."

Fifth, we will show, Your Honor, that Brad Griffin, a member of League of the South, delegated by Defendant Hill as head of communications, participated in the torch march along with other League members from the Florida chapter.

Next, Your Honor, we will show that the defendant Hill, Tubbs, and Baker and Griffin, each at different times, coordinated the events of the Unite the Right rally, including the torch march, with other organization defendants

and individual defendants in this case, including the defendant TWP, the defendant NSM, the defendant Vanguard America, and individual defendants Kessler, Parrott, Heimbach, and Schoep.

Next, Your Honor, that the coordination included

Vanguard member -- Vanguard America. Its member James Fields

participated with Vanguard America in Saturday's rally and

committed the criminal act of driving the car into the

protesters, killing -- killing one of the protesters.

THE COURT: Stop right there now and summarize the evidence that you have that Fields belonged to the Vanguard America group.

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, we will show that -- and it's in Exhibits 94, 95, and 120 that we submitted in connection with this motion -- that Fields was in uniform, the white shirt and khakis of Vanguard America.

My microphone has defaulted. Can Your Honor still hear me?

THE COURT: I hear you.

MR. LEVINE: So those exhibits show that Fields stood with and participated with Vanguard America on the day of the rally, and it shows the defendant Fields next to Thomas Russo, who was the leader of Vanguard America. And they are standing behind the defendant Kline, who you know from earlier proceedings in this case. The defendant Fields

showed up in uniform, Your Honor, from which --

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. You're going in and out, Mr. Levine. We've lost your video feed.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: You're back.

MR. LEVINE: Sorry, Your Honor.

So I was saying Exhibit 120 shows the defendant Fields along with other members of Vanguard America in formation behind the defendant Elliot Kline, who Your Honor is familiar with. And the defendant Fields is wearing the white shirt and khakis uniform of Vanguard America and is carrying -- is carrying a shield.

Thomas Russo, the acknowledged leader of Vanguard America, testified in his deposition, Your Honor, that James Fields participated with them as a member in the rally that day. And the same testimony was given by the witness Dillon Hopper.

So we also, Your Honor, are entitled at this stage of the evidence to any inferences that can be drawn from those facts. And I would submit to Your Honor that appearing next to and with the other members of Vanguard America, in the uniform of Vanguard America, not in the uniform of any of the other groups, raises the inference that he did participate as a member with them, just as Mr. Russo testified.

Now, we also have evidence about the car attack, but I think Your Honor just asked me what the evidence is of his participation in the rally.

THE COURT: Yeah, I just asked for proof of his connection with Vanguard.

MR. LEVINE: So that is our evidence of his connection with Vanguard that day.

Mr. Fields has not participated in discovery. We have not taken his deposition. So the allegations that Mr. Jones is making about Mr. Fields and conversations and the like, it's just -- there's no evidence, Your Honor, because Mr. Fields has not participated in discovery.

Finally, Your Honor -- and this relates to a point in their brief that Mr. Jones did not argue today -- the assault on DeAndre Harris in the Market Street garage, we will show, was a violent assault on a black man by a group of individuals, including a member of League of the South. And Your Honor will see an exhibit that we have marked, which I'll refer to later, of the defendant Tubbs looking on over that assault in the location at the time.

So, Your Honor, we will show, based on this review of the evidence, that the allegations that Mr. Jones has made -- not the allegations -- the statements that Mr. Jones has made that his clients did not participate in League of the -- in the torch march, and thus the torch march was not

an act in furtherance of the conspiracy that they participated in, we will show that that's a false narrative. There's abundant evidence of participation by the League of the South and ratifying the torch march by -- by the defendant Hill.

Similarly for Fields, Your Honor, apart from what I just said, we will show, Your Honor -- it's Exhibits 120 and -- I forget right now; I will come to it during my argument -- that defendant Tubbs ratified the defendant Fields' car attack and said on four occasions -- I think it's Exhibit 151 -- on four separate occasions on Twitter, Mr. Tubbs, the defendant Tubbs, said: "James Fields did nothing wrong."

Third, Your Honor, we will show, although Mr. Jones didn't argue it, that the argument about Antifa and this being a political rally is just simply not consistent with the evidence.

The defendants League of the South, Hill, and Tubbs joined the Unite the Right rally as an alt-right rally with co-conspirators committed to violence against blacks, Jews, and their supporters. And we will show, based on words coming out of League of the South members themselves, that they considered Antifa supporters of blacks and Jews. And this is exactly what the statute was intended to cover.

I also just said that we will show that the Saturday

afternoon assault on DeAndre Harris was very much an act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

As I said, Your Honor, in reference to the discussion of Mr. Fields, at this point, what the defendants have -- movants have done here is simply present an alternative narrative to the proof that we will show, presenting, if you will, a genuine issue of material fact. We submit these arguments are essentially a factual narrative -- we say, false narrative -- of what the defendants did in this case, and it will be for the jury to decide.

At this stage, as I said in relation to Fields, the law is clear that we're entitled to the inferences to be drawn from the facts. And as Your Honor has cited before, at this stage of proceedings, credibility determinations are to be left for the jury.

And that rule of law, Your Honor, is particularly important in this case, since, essentially, the narratives that the defendant movants assert here are self-serving statements in affidavits which themselves, Your Honor, are contradicted by their conduct in this case, by their statements before, by their conduct at the time, and by their statements afterwards.

THE COURT: Do you have any evidence -- just summarize it for me, if you will -- that the members, any

member of the League, had access to Discord group Charlottesville 2.0?

MR. LEVINE: Yes, Your Honor. The evidence will show that the defendants Baker, Griffin, Adams, and Durham were all delegated as representatives by Hill to participate in the planning.

And let's take Mr. Baker first, Your Honor. The evidence will show that Hill, Defendant Hill, told the defendant Tubbs that he directed Baker to be chief of operations and he, Baker, handled the on-the-ground planning for Unite the Right and was delegated by Hill to work with Kessler and others on Discord.

Exhibit 122, Your Honor. 122 is -- let me just get to it in my book, Your Honor.

Exhibit 122, Your Honor, is a communication between Hill and Tubbs delegating Baker to be the contact with the Charlottesville Police Department for Unite the Right on security for Saturday and reporting back to Defendant Hill on what he did.

And Exhibit 25, Your Honor, is a message from Mr. Baker to the defendant Hill about the participation of Jeff Schoep, the defendant Schoep, and the National Socialist Movement. And Exhibit 25 is a message from Baker to him in which he says, "Jeff Schoep" -- this is from July 13th, 2017, Your Honor. "Jeff Schoep is one hundred percent on board,

sir. He accepted our terms unequivocally and without hesitation. He also asked to be kept abreast and that I coordinate NSM participation with two of his people, one of whom I'm well-acquainted with."

Next, Your Honor, on August 12, Baker participated with the defendant Tubbs in giving instructions to the warriors from League of the South and others in the Nationalist Front on Saturday morning at the Market Street garage.

Next, Your Honor, on Exhibit 27, after the fact, this same Ike Baker reported to another person that, quote, "I" -- it's a little out of context because it's relating to a new event that they were going to plan, but Baker is discussing what they did for Unite the Right, and he says, "I have sought legal advice concerning our shields and other defensive appurtenances, such as clubs and batons, many of us used to great effect last summer in Charlottesville."

Now, that shows Baker's cooperation and participation in planning the events with other co-conspirators and with the events of this day.

J.C. Adams, Your Honor, also a member of the League of the South, also delegated by the defendant Hill to coordinate.

Exhibit 12. Exhibit 12, Your Honor, is on Discord, and J.C. Adams used Discord and took on the role of planning

for UTR with other nationalist front organizations. The exhibit, Exhibit 12, Your Honor, actually announces to the group that: "There is a planning/reminding of the agenda for the next meeting. Currently meetings are held at the Whitewater Grill in Ocoee, Tennessee, on Thursdays at 7 p.m."

So J.C. Adams, Your Honor, participated in and coordinated organizational meetings relating to Unite the Right, which were, in part, announced on Discord.

The day that that meeting, Your Honor -- this is interesting; probative, I would submit. That meeting took place on July 9, 2017, and Exhibit 11, Your Honor, is a Discord chat 2.0 -- Discord chat from Charlottesville 2.0, in which J.C. Adams is saying -- obviously after the co-conspirators meeting the day before, he says, quote, "The hate van will come stocked with some shields from the TWP," which is the co-defendant Traditionalist Worker Party, "with a TW" -- "from the TWP Goys," G-O-Y-S.

So not only do we have the J.C. Adams delegated by defendant Hill, participating in planning on Discord, but he also is participating and planning separately at actual meetings of participation, certainly acts in furtherance of the conspiracy.

Then, Your Honor, on the day, on the -- three days before the event, also on the Charlottesville 2.0, is a hideous exhibit, Your Honor. It's Exhibit 9, and it is from

J.C. Adams, and it was in the course of a long chat, several pages, involving many participants and the co-conspirator Defendant Kessler. And it is a picture, Your Honor, of a hand turning up a knob on an oven, and J.C. Adams' statement on Charlottesville 2.0 is, "What's that I smell," question mark.

Later in the chat, one of the participants on Charlottesville 2.0 says, "@JCAdams," quote, "get the ovens warmed up, Goyim," G-O-Y-I-M.

And finally, Your Honor, as to J.C. Adams, not on the Charlottesville 2.0 server, but from the day of the event is Exhibit 92, which is one of the videos. And we submit in that -- in that video, at the 44-minute mark, is a picture of J.C. Adams participating with other members of League of the South in throwing a woman protester on the ground, a whole group of them together. You can hear them screaming "Leave" to her. They mace her.

And when I asked the defendant Hill during his deposition whether that was an act of self-defense, he admitted that it was not.

So that's J.C. Adams, Your Honor.

All right. There's also evidence of the defendant -- of the -- of Mr. Griffin. And I can get to that right now, Your Honor, or talk about that in connection with the torch march.

Let me just go back, Your Honor, to point out the events relating to the defendant Hill in this case. He solicited participation of the League of the South members; and there are many, Your Honor, but the one that's most expressive, I submit, is Exhibit 90, where the defendant Hill said, quote -- and this was in July of 2017. Quote, "If you want to defend the South and Western Civilization from the Jew and his dark-skinned allies, be at Charlottesville on 12 August."

And Dr. Hill -- Defendant Hill didn't just solicit his own League of the South members to participate; he also solicited participation from the members of the Nationalist Front, including the NSM, the National Socialist Movement.

And Exhibit 29, Your Honor, is remarks that he wrote and sent to Ike Baker, who I was just referring to, for Ike Baker to deliver physically at a meeting of the NSM and soliciting their participation. The defendant Hill said, quote, "We are compassed around with enemies who seek our destruction from above in the form of the International Jew and his white Gentile traitor allies to below in the dark shape of the Negro, Mestizo, and Muslim street thug. We are beset by those who despise us and all we hold dear. The time has come when white men of the West must put aside their petty differences and unite for our very survival and well-being."

Hill also, as I said, delegated Baker, Griffin,

Adams, and Durham as representatives of the League involved in organizations.

Defendant Hill also took charge himself in fabricating shields that would be used by the League of the South members in the actual rally and were used that day as weapons. And Exhibit 28, Your Honor, is one of his communications relating to fabricating those shields.

The evidence also shows, and it's in our brief, of Hill and Tubbs regularly communicating with one another, and Hill soliciting Tubbs' advice and Tubbs' participation.

On the --

THE COURT: What is your evidence, your best evidence, that they were involved in the torchlight parade on the 11th?

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor -- first understand, Your Honor, that the torch march was part of the event from the very beginning. And the -- it was explicit on Discord that it was to be kept quiet. Even though different people, including Baker for League of the South, met with the Charlottesville Police Department, they never disclosed to the Charlottesville Police Department -- and that was agreed upon, Your Honor. They never disclosed to the CPD the fact of the torch march rally.

THE COURT: But was the League involved in that?

MR. LEVINE: Yes, it was, Your Honor. So Brad

Griffin, who was chief of communications -- it's Exhibit 19,

Your Honor. Brad Griffin, in a conversation in the middle of

July, told one of the other co-defendants in the case, Andrew

Anglin, quote, "Everyone is coming to Charlottesville." He

said, "The League has a private summer retreat reserved for

the weekend. I've heard Dr. Duke is coming and will have

some kind of surprise role. We're going to do the torchlight

parade again."

Then, Your Honor, Exhibit 52, on Discord is a conversation from in or about July 3rd, 2017 between Mr. Griffin and the defendant Kessler. And Kessler says to Griffin, "Make sure your guys bring plenty of tiki torches." And Griffin responds, "Yes, we have to create a buzz."

Then, Your Honor, Griffin did attend with his wife and several other members of the League. And Exhibit 147, Your Honor, 147 is a tweet -- maybe YouTube -- no, it's a tweet, with a picture from YouTube by Tyler Davis. It's Exhibit 127. And next to one of the iconic photos of the participants in the torch march, Tyler Davis says, quote, "Still getting chills from this," referring to the torch march. "Florida League was there that night before the rally," referring to the League chapter from Florida.

And there is Exhibit -- there is -- in the brief is an exhibit of Mr. Griffin, Brad Griffin, at the torch march

in the shirt of the League of the South.

Finally, Your Honor, Exhibit 105. Once again, Brad Griffin -- let me just find my -- 105, Your Honor. On Discord, Brad Griffin says, quote -- and it's not the name Brad Griffin; it's his name for purposes of his activities, Hunter Wallace. He says, quote, "The scariest optics by far to normies," referring to normal people, "was the torchlight. The rally itself was tame by comparison."

So the evidence shows abundant evidence of participation by one of the League chapters in the torch march, including the planning leading up to it.

The evidence also shows, Your Honor, that the defendants Hill and Tubbs didn't attend the torch march because they rejected the torch march as an act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

The campground where they were staying was some 40 minutes outside the city of Charlottesville, and each of them testified that that evening there was a meeting between them and members of the NSM and TWP, the other co-conspirators, about the rally the next day.

So, Your Honor, the evidence will show that the League actually participated in the event. And as for Hill and Tubbs, the conspiracy law is clear that they don't have to participate in each act in furtherance of the conspiracy to be liable as a member of the conspiracy and for the

injuries that were suffered, which were reasonably foreseeable. Neither the defendant Hill nor the defendant Tubbs withdrew from the conspiracy because they were opposed to it on tactical grounds.

And, Your Honor, you asked Mr. Jones if they disavowed the torch march, and the evidence actually is the opposite, Your Honor.

Exhibit 15. Exhibit 15 is a video shortly after the events of an interview that one of the other League members had with the defendant Hill. And if you listen to that video, Your Honor, you will learn that Hill ratified the torch march in the weeks after, after Unite the Right rally, complimenting on its effect, making the point that he was so impressed with its effect that the League would consider doing it in the future.

So, Your Honor, we submit that, at best, the argument that Hill and Tubbs are making that, since they weren't at the torch march rally, they couldn't be -- it couldn't be an act in furtherance of the conspiracy is, at best, a factual narrative and argument which they should be submitting to the jury. This torch march was every bit a part of the Unite the Right rally, and they knew about it months ahead of time.

I can go now to -- I'd just like to go back, Your Honor, and review, if I could, for the Court the evidence,

isolated evidence, about -- finish the evidence about Hill, with one matter that I haven't yet been able to review with the Court, and then talk about the defendant Tubbs.

and the only -- the only thing, if you will, that the defendants could show for the rally itself on Saturday morning was the violence that ensued, because no speeches took place, Your Honor. The governor declared an unlawful assembly and the parties were all dispersed. In spite of the fact that Dr. Hill didn't get to speak, there were no speeches, and the only events of the day were violence, the defendant Hill called it a great day in the life of the League of the South, and said, "Our warriors" -- not "our members," Your Honor -- "Our warriors acquitted themselves as men," particularly complimenting the defendant Tubbs. And that's Exhibit 34.

And let me just focus for a few minutes, if you will, on the defendant Tubbs.

The defendant Tubbs was a member of the League since he was released from federal prison, where he served a sentence for unlawful weapons conviction while in the U.S. Army. And that conviction, Your Honor, related to the results of a search and seizure on his premises which found a cache, C-A-C-H-E, of automatic weapons and others that were to be used to advance white nationalist objectives. And we

have an exhibit we've submitted about that conviction.

Tubbs became chief of staff for League of the South and was appointed by Hill as the head of ground operations.

Exhibit 121, Your Honor, is a message from the defendant Hill to the defendant Tubbs reporting to him that Baker and Durham, the two members of the League that Hill had delegated specific responsibilities to, would be reporting to Tubbs as his lieutenants in the Unite the Right.

It's the defendant Tubbs, Your Honor, that supervised and decided on the military-style uniforms that would be used by the League members for the day. It's the defendant Tubbs that met with participants on the roof of the Market Street garage from the League, from TWP, and from NSM, and spoke to them about plans for the march on that morning and gave instructions. It's the defendant Tubbs that led the formation marching into Emancipation Park.

And the picture of that, Your Honor, we included in paragraph 212 of the second amended complaint. And Exhibit 92 is a video showing the defendant Tubbs at the front of the formation. That video and others, Your Honor, show that it's the defendant Tubbs that initiated the attack on the counter-protesters and engaged in violence himself.

And, Your Honor, Exhibit 101 shows the defendant Tubbs. He is well over 6 feet tall. He towers over all of the other people, like the co-defendant Parrott said.

And just as the defendants Hill and Tubbs planned, the shields and poles, the poles of the flags, were used as weapons. And Your Honor can see that in the videos that they -- that we have submitted.

So it's disingenuous, Your Honor, for Mr. Jones to say, as he has in his motion, that, since Hill and Tubbs didn't have actual access to Discord, that they weren't involved in the planning and can't be responsible as co-conspirators.

They did have access. But more importantly, they delegated the responsibility of acting for them and for the League to the individuals that I referred to.

And this is a paramilitary organization, Your Honor, that insists on the chain of command. And Your Honor will see references to Baker and Adams reporting to Hill and/or Tubbs, and then to Hill, on what they were doing in furtherance of the conspiracy and in furtherance of the participation.

Also, Your Honor, it should be noted that the defendant Tubbs spoliated evidence in connection with the Unite the Right rally. He destroyed, spoliated, text messages during the very important period before the rally and deleted his League of the South e-mail account for the relevant time period.

I think I've covered what I have to say on the torch

march. Let me go to the defendant Fields.

I'm not going to repeat -- repeat myself, Your
Honor, about the evidence of his participation in the
conspiracy. We submit that there's more than enough direct
evidence of his participation and circumstantial evidence of
his agreement to participate with Vanguard America and
Vanguard America's agreement that he -- that he, Fields -would be participating with them; more than sufficient to get
that proof to the jury.

One thing that Mr. Jones said to Your Honor, which I take strong exception to: There actually is -- the evidence shows, Your Honor, that there isn't any written membership list of Vanguard America. The witness Hopper, who was head of Vanguard America, said there are no records maintained of who the members are.

Thomas Russo, who was the leader of Vanguard America on the ground that day, testified that the defendant Fields was allowed to participate as a member with Vanguard America at the rally.

So not only does the Court have the direct evidence of what Fields did, the circumstantial evidence of Fields wearing a uniform -- which raises the inference, we submit, that there was communication and he knew what the uniform was -- third, we have direct evidence from the leader of Vanguard America that they considered Fields a member for

Sines, et al., v. Kessler, et al. 3:17cv72 10/30/2020 that day.

And Your Honor is familiar with this evidence because you referred to it in the decision on the motion to dismiss. Exhibits 6, 8, and 44 are all communications from Discord among participants, among participants in the Unite the Right rally, discussing using a vehicle for violence on counter-protesters. It was so that a member of one of the national front organizations, that one of the members here, Mr. Fields, actually drives a car into the protesters was a reasonably foreseeable event from that evidence from Discord.

And just like the defendant Hill, Your Honor, ratified the torch march after the fact, even though he didn't participate, we have the same kind of evidence for the defendant Tubbs.

So it is disingenuous for Mr. Jones to describe how little contact they had with the defendant Fields, because the defendant Tubbs said, on four separate occasions -- and it's Exhibit 151 -- that James Fields did nothing wrong.

THE COURT: Is there any effort still going on to obtain discovery from Fields?

MR. LEVINE: Yes. We hope to have his deposition done before the trial, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Judge Hoppe, I think, has authorized that, I believe.

MR. LEVINE: I believe, Your Honor.

Your Honor, we submit that there's more than sufficient evidence of coordination, particularly in light of Russo's testimony that they considered Fields a member.

And, Your Honor, the Fourth Circuit cases Scott,

Society Without a Name, Henkel, Simmons, all of those cases
which have actually thrown out conspiracy counts, all did
because there wasn't any evidence of joint action, and it was
all -- it was -- it was one -- it was two or three people
just acting simultaneously.

This case, Your Honor, is a very different case, and we submit that this is more like, Your Honor, the *Leonard* case in the Seventh Circuit that we've quoted. And even the facts here are better than the *Leonard* case, because the defendant Fields, Your Honor, joined with the defendant Vanguard America, which was a co-conspirator in the Unite the Right rally.

And Your Honor said to Mr. Jones, if Vanguard

America is a co-conspirator and the defendant Fields was a

member of Vanguard America, there's sufficient evidence that,

we submit -- Your Honor didn't say this. There's sufficient

evidence, we submit, that Fields is a co-conspirator and that

his conduct was in furtherance of the conspiracy; certainly

sufficient to put to the jury.

There are two other points that Mr. Jones makes in his brief, Your Honor, that he didn't make in oral argument.

He reserved time. I don't know whether I should reserve time and go behind him, but I'll come right out right now and give you our summary of those arguments.

One is, Your Honor, they claim, they argue, that the violence here wasn't directed at blacks and Jews and their supporters; that these white nationalist organizations are simply -- were simply engaged in a political, a political rally, and they attempt to squeeze this Unite the Right rally into those 1985(3) cases, where the Court has thrown out the 1985(3) count because the target of the violence was a political opponent.

Your Honor, our view is this is a revisionist narrative for what happened. The whole thrust of the Unite the Right rally was to bring together in one place the white nationalist organizations.

The core group of defendants in this case, Your

Honor, that Kline -- that defendants Kline and Kessler

reached out to get participation is the four organizations in

the Nationalist Front: League of the South; Traditionalist

Workers Party, TWP; NSM, National Socialist Movement; and

Vanguard America.

And, of course, I read to you what the defendant

Hill said about, "If you want to save the South, come fight

the Jew and his dark-skinned allies." But let me read, Your

Honor, from Exhibit 19. And this is one of those just

bullets of evidence that puts -- puts how untruthful and disingenuous it is to argue that this Unite the Right rally was going to be a political rally.

Brad Griffin, the head of communications who I've referred to, who was at the torch march, is e-mailing back and forth with the defendant Andrew Anglin, who is with the Daily Stormer, a very prominent white nationalist in the country; and Exhibit 19, Your Honor -- in Exhibit 19, Mr. Griffin is describing to Anglin how they put together the participants for the Unite the Right rally. This conversation back and forth takes place on July 16. The leader of TWP was a man by the name of Matt Heimbach, Defendant Heimbach, and Brad Griffin is saying to Anglin -- I hope I've set this up so that Your Honor can follow it, because this is a very significant piece of evidence.

So he says to Anglin, "So what's going on with Heimbach of TWP?" He answers himself, "He," referring to Heimbach, "has gotten the National Socialist Movement, various Klan groups, and skinheads to unite behind him in the Nationalist Front."

Now, here is the League of the South member telling

Anglin of the groups that are together in the Nationalist

Front. "Everyone" -- "everyone," Griffin says -- "Everyone
is coming to Charlottesville. The League has a private

summer retreat reserved for the weekend outside of town.

I've heard Dr. Duke is coming and will have some kind of surprise role. We're going to do the torchlight parade again."

This message, I submit to Your Honor, among co-conspirators charged here confirms that this was a modern Klan-inspired event, referring to the torchlight parade, which is, we submit, evocative of Klan and Nazi demonstrations of the past. And at least one of the co-conspirators conceded that reference. It demonstrates abundant evidence that the Unite the Right was all about racial animus and religious animus against blacks and their supporters.

Now, Mr. Jones says that there's actually no evidence found during discovery -- he says it at page 8 of his reply memorandum, no evidence developed during discovery to support -- the proposition, we say, was that Antifa's participation was as a supporter of the protected class.

I want to read, Your Honor, and refer you to

Exhibit 14, which is a communication between Mr. Baker, Ike

Baker, who is a member of the League and who I referred to

before, after the -- right immediately after the fact, to one

of the other members of the Nationalist Front. And this is

evidence that Mr. Jones says was not discovered in this case.

But it is. It was. There is evidence. And this is what Ike

Baker says: "I have come to the sincere belief that we who

were present at Charlottesville that day and who fought the Jew-directed Communist horde" -- I submit, referring to Antifa -- "were present for the very genesis of the resurrection of our folk."

You see, Your Honor -- and there's evidence throughout which we have cited in our brief -- the League of the South and other white nationalists view, correctly or incorrectly, that Antifa is Jewish-directed, that it is -- but it is -- it is a supporter of the blacks and the Jews.

And, Your Honor, in the *Griffin* case, in the *Griffin* case, the evidence -- the Supreme Court opinion says very explicitly that the two brothers Breckenridge were not -- actually misunderstood the facts and the victims that they beat up weren't civil rights workers. But the Court said that didn't matter.

So, too, Your Honor, even if not all Antifa members or participants are supporters of Jews, the defendants understand and believe that Antifa is Jew-directed.

And one more piece of evidence, Your Honor, that this is hardly -- was hardly a political rally. I want to read to you from Exhibit 78, which is, I submit, searing -- S-E-A-R-I-N-G -- searing testimony from one of the plaintiffs about the torch march on Friday night. Natalie Lynn Romero at page 18 testified at her deposition: "Well, I arrived with a group of my friends. So we decided to, you know, stay

by the statue and say, 'This is our university.' So there were not too many of us and we decided, let's kind of link our arms around the statue."

And then several pages -- several lines later: "I wasn't aware that they were going to have lit torches. You know, I was -- it felt kind -- afterwards, I felt kind of: Oh, my gosh, why did I have my arms around the statue? A fight broke out in front of me and I was being yelled at. I was one of the only people of color on that side of the statue, alone with other people of color next to me, and we started getting yelled at, being told, you know, things that definitely felt racially, like, very much directed towards me and my friend, you know, telling us to go back to where we came from."

And then several sentences later, she says: "Then a fight broke out in front of us that felt very violent because they threw tiki torches and they landed right at my feet, where I had to jump onto the statue. You know, there were people with helmets."

Remember that J.C. Adams said that there would be a hate van filled with helmets.

"And there were people with things that looked like guns and lights that were really flashing, and bottles of stuff that were throwing, and there was mace coming from, like, a corner, just spraying us."

So they may take the position that they were involved in a political rally, Your Honor, but we submit, at best, that's a question for the jury.

Finally, one last argument that Mr. Jones makes in his brief that he didn't make today, and that is that the violent beating of the black man, DeAndre Harris, on Market Street, at the entrance to the garage, was an isolated event. "A spontaneous encounter" is what Mr. Jones called it.

At the outset, Your Honor, the evidence shows that this violent beating was done by three or four men, one of whom was a member of the League of the South, Tyler Davis; who also is the same individual, Your Honor, that participated in the torch march that I mentioned before, Exhibit 147, which was a tweet of a picture of the torch march, where it said, "Still getting chills from this. Florida League was there that night."

So Tyler Davis was one of the three or four people beating DeAndre Harris.

And Exhibit 103, Your Honor, is actually the beating in progress. It's a still photo. It's very chilling, I submit. It shows three or four men over him with sticks, pummeling him. And DeAndre Harris suffered a fractured skull, broken vertebrae, and a broken arm.

And very important, Your Honor, in that photo -it's Exhibit 103 -- looking over that beating, Your Honor, is

Sines, et al., v. Kessler, et al. 3:17cv72 10/30/2020 the defendant Michael Tubbs.

They actually have the audacity to argue that it was a spontaneous event and not an act in furtherance of the conspiracy. It's exactly the kind of violence that they advertised and promised that day, and the defendant Michael Tubbs is watching it happen.

And if that isn't enough to show that it was an act of violence in furtherance of the conspiracy, after the events of that day, the Charlottesville Police Department started a criminal investigation of that assault, and the League members learned -- Mr. Tubbs, Defendant Tubbs, learned that Tyler Davis, the League member, was actually under investigation. He went through League members' friends lists -- maybe from Facebook; I don't know how -- and he identified three members of the League who befriended -- who were friends of this Tyler Davis under investigation.

Exhibits 126, 127, and 128 are what are -- are text chats between the defendant Tubbs and three members of the League: One Bryan McCoy, M-c-C-O-Y, at page -- the Bates number is 218; one member, Charlene, C-H-A-R-L-E-N-E, Braun, B-R-A-U-N, and that's at Bates number 250; and Exhibit 128, Your Honor, is -- I don't have the individual, but it is number 245 -- oh, Jessica Reavis, R-E-A-V-I-S. In each of those three text chats, the defendant Tubbs is saying to the League member, "You are friends with Tyler Davis. The feds

apparently have possession of his phone. And," quote,
"'Tyler,'" close quote, has been friending people while he is
in jail. You need to remove him from your friends list
immediately and delete any conversations you might have had
with him via Signal Messenger and other formats."

So this is the very defendant. And Tyler Davis is a member of the Florida League, the same Florida League that participated in the torch march. The defendant Tubbs is part of the Florida League chapter.

So I submit there's abundant circumstantial evidence of Tubbs' involvement with the Florida League that applies to the torch march, and abundant evidence of his participation in this brutal beating that's an act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

Your Honor, I'm not sure what Mr. Jones is going to argue, but he moved to dismiss Counts Two and Three besides Count One. Count Two is the 1986 count. Count Three is the civil conspiracy count.

If Your Honor permits the 1985(3) count to go to the jury, those two counts follow based on the law, so I'm not going to spend any additional time arguing about Counts Two and Three.

Your Honor, in sum, in *Griffin*, in the *Griffin* case, the plaintiffs were pulled from their car and beaten at the side of the road by two brothers. At the same time, those

brothers were making racist comments about and to them. The Court, the Supreme Court, Justice Stewart, said, quote, "The claims of detention, threats, and battery amply satisfy the requirement of acts done in furtherance of a conspiracy."

We have, Your Honor, detention, threats, and battery on a much grander scale here. The torch march, the rally on Saturday, the car attack by Fields, much grander scale of violence; abundant evidence that these three defendants -- Hill, Tubbs, and League of the South -- were co-conspirators involved in this conspiracy.

Your Honor, as -- Your Honor quoted Justice Stewart also from his opinion. As Justice Stewart said, "Indeed, the conduct here alleged lies so close to the core of the coverage intended by Congress that it is hard to conceive of wholly private conduct that would come within the statute if this does not," close quote.

We submit, Your Honor, that this is -- this is the case, what the statute was intended to punish.

I only have anything else if Mr. Jones says something that I haven't had an opportunity to respond to.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Jones?

MR. LEVINE: Thank you for your patience, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Jones?

MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. I will just address the two important points that -- the two arguments that I made at the beginning. I'll rest on my arguments in the motion and memorandum and in my reply for the other issues.

On the question of whether Fields conspired with anybody, plaintiffs are uncharacteristically brief. Page 38, 39, and 40 in their response, they cite evidence simply showing that James Fields attended the rally and stood near members of Vanquard America.

Alan, Mr. Levine, mentioned several times that Thomas Russo said he considered James Fields a member.

That's incorrect. That's a mischaracterization of the evidence. Mr. Russo never uttered those words. I urge Your Honor to actually look at the exhibits that plaintiffs have cited in support, pages 38, 39, and 40, on that point.

Fields did not conspire with anybody. Nobody knew who Fields was. They have no evidence that anybody knew him before the rally, that he participated in any conversations on Discord, and they have simply not provided sufficient evidence on that point.

Your Honor, on the torch march, Michael Hill,
Michael Tubbs, and the League of the South, the national
chapter, the national organization, are defendants, not Brad

Griffin, not Tyler Davis, and not Florida League of the South members. The League -- plaintiffs simply have ignored the crucial piece of evidence on this point, which is the e-mail from J.C. Adams to Michael Hill where he says the League is not taking part in the torch march and they are sending observers.

Simply sending observers does not qualify as participation in a conspiracy.

And, finally, the torch march and the rally were two separate events. They occurred at different locations, for different purposes. Completely, two separate events.

If plaintiffs' conspiracy theory is accepted by the Court, then somebody who simply showed up at the rally on Saturday, August 12 as a member of some organization, all they had to do was show up at the rally and then they're liable. They're on the hook as a co-conspirator for whatever occurred the day before. That's simply not an accurate statement of what legally qualifies as a conspiracy. Courts have been careful to define conspiracies.

So, Your Honor, for those reasons, we would ask Your Honor to dismiss the conspiracy claims against the League of the South, Michael Hill, and Michael Tubbs.

I would finally -- Mr. Levine has accused me, three times at least, of being disingenuous. I do want to assure the Court and Mr. Levine that that is, in fact, not the case.

I do take my responsibilities seriously. I know it's an emotional case for everybody. But I do -- I do hope that that is not how we conduct this case.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Let me ask you something about the National Front. What is the organization of the National Front? Is that an organized group with any particular organization?

MR. JONES: It's not -- so what it is, is the League and a couple other organizations formed, I guess, a loose alliance. TWP and NSM were part of the Nationalist Front, and they called themselves the Nationalist Front. It's not a -- it's not a -- for example, League of the South is actually an official corporation, corporate entity, but the Nationalist Front is not. It's just a loose alliance.

THE COURT: Okay. What's the relationship between the League and the Florida branch chapter?

MR. JONES: Your Honor, there are independent chapters in most southern states, and Florida has a chapter. It has its own leadership as well.

THE COURT: What's its connection, though, with Mr. Hill's group?

MR. JONES: To be honest, I don't think there's

evidence on the record that speaks to that.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, may I just respond?

THE COURT: To what?

MR. LEVINE: To some of the things that were said in answer to the Court's question.

THE COURT: Well, I'll give the -- Mr. Jones the last word, but just -- you can take a minute.

MR. LEVINE: Okay. Number one, in using the word "disingenuous," I'm using a professional word. I have only the utmost respect for Mr. Jones, and we've dealt very well together on this contentious case.

Your Honor, Defendant Fields didn't make a summary judgment motion here, and the plaintiffs don't have to show that he was involved in the planning before the Unite the Right rally weekend for him to be considered a member of the conspiracy and for his conduct to be acts in furtherance of the conspiracy. That is standard conspiracy law. And the fact — it isn't that he showed up. It's that he showed up with them in their uniform. And Hopper and Russo both testified in their deposition that he was part of their group.

THE COURT: I think -- I think you've covered all of that --

MR. LEVINE: Okay.

THE COURT: -- adequately.

MR. LEVINE: Finally, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Mr. --

MR. LEVINE: Finally, Dr. -- Defendant Hill did testify in his deposition that the League of the South is the umbrella organization; it has 16, I think, or so -- maybe fewer -- state chapters; that it is all organized as one organization. There are League activities in different states. Hill is the president of it all. The defendant Tubbs is the chief of staff for the whole League and is a member of the Florida League.

So we would submit, Your Honor, that the Florida

League's activities and the activities of Griffin, J.C.

Adams, and Baker, all members of the South, all participating that day, are directly attributable to both the League as a defendant here and Hill and Tubbs as defendants.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Jones, you get the last word.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't -- I would just submit that there is no evidence to support the claim that he showed up with Vanguard. When he got to the rally, he hung out with Vanguard, so...

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right.
We'll recess court. I thank you all for your participation.

Thank you.

MR. LEVINE: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Proceedings adjourned, 3:31 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, JoRita B. Meyer, RMR/CRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in conjunction with computer-aided transcription, and that same is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

/s/ JoRita B. Meyer Date: 11/5/2020